

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,516	08/29/2000	Dean Paul Vanden Heuvel	GE04549	9064
7	590 10/03/2002			
Stanley A Schlitter JENNER & BLOCK LLC One IBM Plaza			EXAMINER	
			HONG, HARRY S	
Chicago, IL 6	0611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/03/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HG
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/650,516	HEUVEL ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Harry S. Hong	2642
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irry (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>29 August 2000</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	★ This action is non-final.	
3)∏ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appl	ication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4,8-12,15-18 and 21-24 is/ard	e rejected.	
7)🖂	Claim(s) 5-7,13,14,19 and 20 is/are objection	ected to.	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	= ' '	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1.	uments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for de		
_a	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has	been received.
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.(	5. 99 120 and/or 121.
Attachmen	<i>j</i>	<b>∧</b> □ 1=4 ·	N Summan (BTO 440) Barran Na(1)
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
S Patent and T	rademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/650,516

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by O'Mahoney et al. (O'Mahoney; U.S. Patent No. 5,606,599; cited and applied for the first time).

O'Mahoney plainly teaches the claimed interoperation between a digital network and a PSTN. Refer to FIG 1c of O'Mahoney where it plainly shows a de-vocoder (Voice Compression/Decompression 214) including two output signals combined as a composite signal for deliver within the PSTN.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Application/Control Number: 09/650,516

Art Unit: 2642

12 mm.

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 15-18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Dalton et al. (Dalton; U.S. Patent No. 6,236,653; cited and applied for the first time).

Dalton plainly teaches the claimed method for formatting data for delivery through a PSTN from a digital network. Refer to column 7, lines 45 – 65 of Dalton.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 09/650,516

Art Unit: 2642

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 3, 4, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahoney as applied above in view of Bodnar et al. (Bodnar; U.S. Patent No. 6,324,176; cited and applied for the first time).

O'Mahoney is silent with respect to signals including PCM samples and digital data bits. However, Bodnar plainly teaches such signal combination in another heterogeneous network. Therefore, it would have been obvious even to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the PCM samples and digital data bits into the system of O'Mahoney as motivated by Bodnar.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5-7, 13, 14, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642

September 30, 2002